IN THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

DOCKET NUMBER 03-E-0106

In The Matter Of The Liquidation Of The Home Insurance Company

CLAIMANT OSIJO'S MEMORANDUM OF
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
HIS REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON
PENDING MOTION TO RECOMMIT & REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Pursuant to New Hampshire Superior Court Rules, Rule 58, Claimant,

Adebowale O. Osijo, MBA, respectfully submits the following memoranda in support of
his Request for Evidentiary Hearing, filed concurrently with Motion to Recommit, on
November 18, 2009:

1. PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCES

a. Claimant presented to the Referee, Melinda S. Gehris, a prima facie evidence, in the form of a canceled check, issued by the Home Insurance Company, in the amount of Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) to "The Trust Account of Ganong & Michell, as Trustees for Wale O. Osijo," to settled his personal injury action, on July 29, 1991, to prove that attorney Georgia Ann Michell-Langsam had cashed the settlement check and spent the proceed of the settlement to herself, for her own use and purposes on July 30, 1991, to effectively settle Claimant's personal injury case in the Superior Court of California, Alameda County, titled Osijo v Housing Resources Management, Inc., et al., Case No.: C-649881-6, without his knowledge or consent or an authorization by the Court. There is no nothing in the Court record that

gave attorney an expressed or implied authorization to settle Claimant's personal injury action, for and by herself, for her own use and purposes, without his knowledge or consent.

- b. Claimant presented to Referee Gehris, as a prima facie evidence, in the form of an accompanying letter, authored by Mr. David R. Pinelli (deceased), attorney for the Home Insurance Company, dated July 30, 1991, which placed a restriction on attorney Georgia Ann Michell-Langsam's ability to cash the aforementioned settlement check without the Claimant's signature a document titled "Release," before the check could be cashed. Claimant's signature is not on the "Release" before the check was cashed by attorney Georgia Ann Michell-Langsam.
- c. Claimant presented to the Referee Gehris, as a prima facie evidence, in the form of a Memoranda, authored by Georgia Ann Michell-Langsam, dated July 30, 1991, wherein, David Pinelli advised Georgia Ann Michell-Langsam to cash the settlement check on July 30, 1991, so that she will have cash to give the Claimant as part of the settlement.
- d. Finally, Claimant requested evidentiary hearing of the foregoing, in this matter. Referee Gehris tactically avoided ruling on this motion.

Referee Gehris now states that she did not conduct evidentiary hearing to determine if the personal injury case had been settled by Georgia Ann Michell-Langsam, on July 30, 1991, without the Claimant's knowledge or consent, or an authorization by the Court.

Claimant has a due process right to present evidences to substantiate his claims in any tribunal, under the *Fourteenth Amendment* to the Constitution of The United States of America, and the *California Constitution*, whether they are relevant or irrelevant. The tribunal is duty bound to examine Claimant's evidence, whether they are considered and ruled relevant or irrelevant to the claim, under the *Fourteenth Amendment* and the *California Constitution*.

It is a violation of Claimant's *Fourteenth Amendment* rights for Referee Gehris to look elsewhere, and avoid making decisions when evidences are being presented to her and now claim to have not conducted evidentiary hearing to determine that attorney Michell-Langsam had settled Claimant's personal injury action on July 30, 1991.

2. WHERE IS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION TO ISSUE AN ORDER WITHOUT COMPLYING WITH THE MINIMUM DUE PROCESS NOTICE REQUIREMENT?

Referee Melinda Gehris ruled that the Superior Court of California, Alameda County's Order of October 10, 1991, authorized attorney Georgia Ann Michell-Langsam to cashed the aforementioned settlement check on July 30, 1991, and distribute the proceeds of the settlement check to herself, for her own use and purposes, without the Claimant's knowledge or consent. So, where did the Order of October 10, 1991, said so? Where is a copy of the noticed motion for such order? Where is a copy of a transcript of hearing, the declaration and the memorandum of points and authorities, filed by attorney Georgia Ann Michell-Langsam or David R. Pinelli for this Order?

Referee Gehris now said that this Order is irrelevant to her ruling. So, what judgment or order is relevant to her ruling on res juridicata? She refused to say or state.

Claimant has repeatedly asked the Liquidator for the order that authorized attorney Georgia Ann Michell to cash the settlement check and distribute the proceeds to herself, for her own use and purposes, on July 30, 1991. The Liquidator came up empty. Now the Referee is saying that such Order is irrelevant to her ruling.

This is Claimant's CAUSE & COURSES in this insurance liquidation proceeding.

Claimant is entitled to a ruling on his cause and courses, as a matter of federal and state constitutional rights.

Dated: June 6, 2011 Respectfully Submitted By:

Adebowale O. Osijo, MBA Claimant Pro Se 44-HICIL-2009 2015 East Pontiac Way Suite 209 Fresno, California 93726-3978 Telephone: (559) 273-5765 Facsimile: (559) 221-0585

1	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL	
2	I Oludare Abdul declare the followings:	
3	I am over the age of eighteen years. I have no interest in the outcome of this case.	
5	I am a resident of the City and County of Fresno. I served the following:	
6	CLAIMANT'S MEMORANDA OF POINTS & AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT	
7 8	on the following persons:	
9 10 11	The Clerk Merrimack County Superior Court 163 North Mail Street Post Office Box 2880 Concord, New Hampshire 03302-2880	Office of the Attorney General Attn: Home Insurance Liquidation Department of Justice 33 Capitol Street Concord New Hampshire 03301
12 13 14	Eric A Smith Rackemann, Sawyer & Brewster PLC 160 Federal Street Boston Massachusetts 02110-1700	Referee Melinda Gehris Hess Gehris Solutions 501 Hall Street Bow, New Hampshire 03304
15 16	by placing the documents in envelopes, with prepaid envelopes. I thereafter sealed the envelopes and deposited them with the U. S. Postal Service, for delivery at the respective addresses.	
17 18 19	I declare under Oath, with the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration of Oath is executed in the City and County of Fresno, this 6 th day of June, in the year 2011.	
20	——————————————————————————————————————	re Abdul
21	2015 East Pontiac Way, Suite 203 Fresno, California 93726-3978	
22		
23		
24		
25		